UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE

RENE SAMUEL KENDALL,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) No. 3:11-CV-388-PLR-CCS
SEVIER COUNTY, CITY OF PIGEON FORGE, RUSSELL PARKER, JACK BALDWIN, and GARY CAMPBELL, and MARIANA GUTIERREZ,))))
Defendants.	,))

ORDER

This case is before the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Rules of this Court, and the referral of the presiding District Judge. On September 8, 2014, the Court received Plaintiff's Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis on Appeal [Doc. 118], which moves the Court to allow the Plaintiff to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal. The District Judge referred this motion to the undersigned shortly thereafter.

Pursuant to Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure:

- [A] party to a district-court action who desires to appeal in forma pauperis must file a motion in the district court. The party must attach an affidavit that:
- (A) shows in the detail prescribed by Form 4 of the Appendix of Forms the party's inability to pay or to give security for fees and costs;
- (B) claims an entitlement to redress; and
- (C) states the issues that the party intends to present on appeal.

Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(1).

The Court has reviewed the Plaintiff's filings [Docs. 118, 119], and the Court finds that the Plaintiff has complied with Rule 24. Based upon the foregoing, the Court finds that Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal [Doc. 118] is well-taken, and it is **GRANTED**. The Plaintiff will be permitted to proceed on appeal without prepayment of fees.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ENTER:

s/C. Clifford Shirley, Jr.
United States Magistrate Judge

2

¹ The instant decision is not a decision on whether Plaintiff's appeal is meritorious or timely, and it should not be interpreted as such.